

David J. Burman (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 Cori G. Moore (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 Eric J. Weiss (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 Nicholas H. Hesterberg (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 Steven D. Merriman (admitted *pro hac vice*)

**PERKINS COIE LLP**

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900  
 Seattle, WA 98101-3099  
 Telephone: 206.359.8000  
 Facsimile: 206.359.9000

Joren Bass, State Bar No. 208143  
 JBass@perkinscoie.com

**PERKINS COIE LLP**

Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400  
 San Francisco, CA 94111-4131  
 Telephone: 415.344.7120  
 Facsimile: 415.344.7320

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
*Costco Wholesale Corporation*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)  
 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC  
 MDL NO. 1917

This Document Relates to:

Individual Case No.: 3:11-CV-06397-SC

Case No. 3:11-06397-SC

COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

HITACHI LTD., et al.,

Defendants.

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~  
 ORDER REGARDING DISMISSAL OF  
 COSTCO'S STATE LAW CLAIMS  
 AGAINST SAMSUNG SDI**

1 WHEREAS, on November 14, 2011, Costco Wholesale Corporation (“Costco”) filed a  
 2 Complaint and Jury Demand in the United States District Court for the Western District of  
 3 Washington, *Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Hitachi, Ltd.*, Case No. 2:11-cv-01909-RSM, alleging  
 4 violations of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1), the California Cartwright Act (Cal.  
 5 Bus. & Prof. Code § 16700 *et seq.*), the Washington Consumer Protection Act (RCW 19.86.030),  
 6 the Arizona Antitrust Act (Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 14-1401 *et seq.*), the Florida Deceptive and Unfair  
 7 Trade Practices Act (Florida Stat. § 501.201 *et seq.*), and the Illinois Antitrust Act (740 Illinois  
 8 Code 10/1 *et seq.*);

9 WHEREAS, on November 28, 2011, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation issued a  
 10 conditional transfer order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, transferring the Costco action to the  
 11 Northern District of California, to be consolidated with MDL No. 1917, *In re Cathode Ray Tube*  
 12 *(CRT) Antitrust Litigation*, No. 07-cv-5944-SC (the “MDL”);

13 WHEREAS, the Costco Action was transferred to the Northern District of California;

14 WHEREAS, on August 17, 2012, various defendants filed a joint Motion to Dismiss and  
 15 for Judgment on the Pleadings as to Certain Direct Action Plaintiffs’ Claims, including Costco’s,  
 16 on various grounds (Dkt. No. 1317);

17 WHEREAS, on March 26, 2013, Direct Action Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Leave to File  
 18 Amended Complaints, which included a request by Costco to amend its complaint to add  
 19 Samsung SDI America, Inc., Samsung SDI Co., Ltd., Samsung SDI (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd.,  
 20 Samsung SDI Mexico S.A de C.V., Samsung SDI Brasil Ltda., Shenzhen Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.,  
 21 and Tianjin Samsung SDI Co., Ltd. (collectively “SDI”) as defendants (Dkt. No. 1609);

22 WHEREAS, on August 21, 2013, the Court issued an order adopting the Special Master’s  
 23 recommendation that Costco’s claim under Washington state law be dismissed without leave to  
 24 amend (Dkt. No. 1856);

25 WHEREAS, on September 26, 2013, the Court issued an order adopting the Interim  
 26 Special Master’s recommendation that Costco be allowed to amend its complaint to add SDI as a  
 27 defendant (Dkt. No. 1959);

1 WHEREAS, on October 3, 2013, Costco filed a First Amended Complaint in the Northern  
2 District of California, *Costco Wholesale Corporation v. Hitachi, Ltd.*, Case No. 3:11-cv-06397,  
3 Master File No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC (Dkt. No. 1982), naming SDI as a defendant;

4 WHEREAS, on November 4, 2013, SDI filed a Motion to Dismiss Costco's claims under  
5 the California Cartwright Act, the Arizona Antitrust Act, the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade  
6 Practices Act, and the Illinois Antitrust Act (Dkt. No. 2171);

7 NOW, THEREFORE, COSTCO AND SDI, BY AND THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE  
8 COUNSEL OF RECORD, HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

9 1. Costco's claims against SDI under the California Cartwright Act, the Arizona  
10 Antitrust Act, the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, and the Illinois Antitrust Act  
11 are dismissed with prejudice;

12 2. SDI's Motion to Dismiss Costco's state-law claims is withdrawn as moot;

13 3. This stipulation and the Court's order thereon shall have no bearing on Costco's  
14 Sherman Act claim against SDI;

15 4. SDI's answer to Costco's First Amended Complaint shall be due on the first  
16 business day thirty (30) days after the Court enters this stipulation as an order; and

17 5. The undersigned parties jointly and respectfully request that the Court enter this  
18 stipulation as an order.

1 Dated: November 13, 2013

By: /s/ David J. Burman  
David J. Burman (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Cori G. Moore (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Eric J. Weiss (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Nicholas H. Hesterberg (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Steven D. Merriman (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
**PERKINS COIE LLP**  
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900  
Seattle, WA 98101-3099  
Telephone: 206.359.8000  
Facsimile: 206.359.9000

Joren Bass, State Bar No. 208143  
JBass@perkinscoie.com

**PERKINS COIE LLP**  
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4131  
Telephone: 415.344.7120  
Facsimile: 415.344.7320

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Costco Wholesale Corporation*

By: /s/ Tyler M. Cunningham  
GARY L. HALLING (66087)  
Email: ghalling@sheppardmullin.com  
JAMES L. MCGINNIS (95788)  
Email: jmcginnis@sheppardmullin.com  
MICHAEL W. SCARBOROUGH (203524)  
Email: mscarborough@sheppardmullin.com  
TYLER M. CUNNINGHAM (243694)  
Email: tcunningham@sheppardmullin.com  
**SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER &  
HAMPTON LLP**  
Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-4109  
Telephone: (415) 434-9100  
Facsimile: (415) 434-3947

*Attorneys for Defendants Samsung SDI America,  
Inc., Samsung SDI Co., Ltd., Samsung SDI  
(Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd., Samsung SDI Mexico S.A. de  
C.V., Samsung SDI Brasil Ltda., Shenzhen Samsung SDI  
Co. Ltd. and Tianjin Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.*

1 Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i), the filer attests that the concurrence in the filing of this  
2 document has been obtained from each of the above signatories.

3 Dated: November 13, 2013  
4

5 By: /s/ David J. Burman  
6 David J. Burman  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

2  
3 DATED: December 11, 2013

  
Hon. Samuel A. Conti  
United States District Judge

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 LEGAL28430194.2  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28